§ 3001.194

- (4) Workpapers shall include citations sufficient to enable a reviewer to trace any number used but not derived in the associated testimony back to published documents or, if not obtained from published documents, to primary data sources. Citations shall be sufficiently detailed to enable a reviewer to identify and locate the specific data used, e.g., by reference to document, page, line, column, etc. With the exception of workpapers that follow a standardized and repetitive format, the required citations themselves, or a crossreference to a specific page, line, and column of a table of citations, shall appear on each page of each workpaper. Workpapers that follow a standardized and repetitive format shall include the citations described in this paragraph for a sufficient number of representative examples to enable a reviewer to trace numbers directly or by analogy.
- (i) Certification by officials. (1) Every formal request shall include one or more certifications stating that the cost statements and supporting data submitted as a part of the formal request, as well as the accompanying workpapers, which purport to reflect the books of the Postal Service, accurately set forth the results shown by such books.
- (2) The certificates required by paragraph (i)(1) of this section shall be signed by one or more representatives of the Postal Service authorized to make such certification. The signature of the official signing the document constitutes a representation that the official has read the document and that, to the best of his/her knowledge, information and belief, every statement contained in the instrument is proper.
- (j) Rejection of requests. The Commission may reject any request under this subpart that patently fails to substantially comply with any requirements of this subpart.

§ 3001.194 Failure to comply.

If the Postal Service fails to provide any information specified by this subpart, or otherwise required by the presiding officer or the Commission, the Commission, upon its own motion, or upon motion of any participant to the proceeding, may stay the proceeding

until satisfactory compliance is achieved. The Commission will stay proceedings only if it finds that failure to supply adequate information interferes with the Commission's ability promptly to consider the request and to conduct its proceedings with expedition in accordance with the Act.

§ 3001.195 Requests to recommend a baseline Negotiated Service Agreement.

- (a) This section governs Postal Service requests for a recommended decision in regard to a baseline Negotiated Service Agreement, *i.e.*, a Negotiated Service Agreement that is not predicated on a functionally equivalent Negotiated Service Agreement currently in effect. The purpose of this section is to establish procedures which provide for maximum expedition of review consistent with procedural fairness, and which allows for the recommendation of a baseline Negotiated Service Agreement. The Postal Service request shall include:
- (1) A written justification for requesting a Negotiated Service Agreement classification as opposed to a more generally applicable form of classification; and
- (2) A description of the operational bases of the Negotiated Service Agreement, including activities to be performed and facilities to be used by both the Postal Service and the mailer under the agreement.
- (b) The Commission will treat requests predicated on a baseline Negotiated Service Agreement as subject to the maximum expedition consistent with procedural fairness. A schedule will be established, in each case, to allow for prompt issuance of a decision.

§ 3001.196 Requests to recommend a Negotiated Service Agreement that is functionally equivalent to a previously recommended Negotiated Service Agreement.

(a) This section governs Postal Service requests for a recommended decision in regard to a Negotiated Service Agreement that is proffered as functionally equivalent to a Negotiated

Service Agreement previously recommended by the Commission and currently in effect. The previously recommended Negotiated Service Agreement shall be referred to as the baseline agreement. The purpose of this section is to establish procedures that provide for accelerated review of functionally equivalent Negotiated Service Agreements. The Postal Service request shall include:

- (1) A detailed description of how the proposed Negotiated Service Agreement is functionally equivalent to the baseline agreement;
- (2) A detailed description of how the proposed Negotiated Service Agreement is different from the baseline agreement;
- (3) Identification of the record testimony from the baseline agreement docket, or any other previously concluded docket, on which the Postal Service proposes to rely, including specific citation to the locations of such testimony;
- (4) All available special studies developing information pertinent to the proposed Negotiated Service Agreement:
- (5) If applicable, the identification of circumstances unique to the request; and
- (6) If applicable, a proposal for limitation of issues in the proceeding, except that the following issues will be relevant to every request predicated on a functionally equivalent Negotiated Service Agreement:
- (i) The financial impact of the Negotiated Service Agreement on the Postal Service over the duration of the agreement:
- (ii) The fairness and equity of the Negotiated Service Agreement in regard to other users of the mail; and
- (iii) The fairness and equity of the Negotiated Service Agreement in regard to the competitors of the parties to the Negotiated Service Agreement.
- (b) When the Postal Service submits a request predicated on a functionally equivalent Negotiated Service Agreement, it shall provide written notice of its request, either by hand delivery or by First-Class Mail, to all participants in the Commission docket established to consider the baseline agreement.
- (c) The Commission will schedule a prehearing conference for each request.

Participants shall be prepared at the prehearing conference to address whether or not it is appropriate to proceed under §3001.196, and to identify any issue(s) that would indicate the need to schedule a hearing. After consideration of the material presented in support of the request, and the argument presented by the participants, if any, the Commission shall promptly issue a decision on whether or not to proceed under §3001.196. If the Commission's decision is to not proceed under §3001.196, the request will proceed under §3001.195.

- (d) The Commission will treat requests predicated on functionally equivalent Negotiated Service Agreements as subject to accelerated review consistent with procedural fairness. If the Commission determines that it is appropriate to proceed under §3001.196, a schedule will be established which allows a recommended decision to be issued not more than:
- (1) 60 days after the determination is made to proceed under §3001.196, if no hearing is held; or
- (2) 120 days after the determination is made to proceed under §3001.196, if a hearing is scheduled.

§ 3001.197 Requests to renew previously recommended negotiated service agreements with existing participant(s).

(a) This section governs Postal Service requests for a recommended decision seeking to extend the duration of a previously recommended and currently in effect negotiated service agreement (existing agreement). The purpose of this section is to establish procedures that provide for accelerated review of Postal Service requests to extend the duration of an existing agreement under substantially identical obligations. In addition to extending the duration of the existing agreement, modifications may be entertained that do not materially alter the nature of the existing agreement for the purposes of: correcting a technical defect, updating the schedule of rates and fees, or accounting for an intervening event since the recommendation of the existing agreement. The Postal Service request shall include: